AREA COMMITTEE EAST – 9th February 2016

Title	e of paper:	Delegated Authority Projects					
Dire	ctor(s)/	Andy Vaughan	Wards affected:				
	porate Director(s):	Corporate Director	Dales, Mapperley				
00.1	por ato 2 11 ooto (o):	Commercial and Operations	and St Ann's				
Rep	ort author(s) and	Fi Cusick, Neighbourhood Developr	I .				
-	tact details:	0115 8839806					
		fi.cusick@nottinghamcity.gov.uk					
Oth	er colleagues who	Michelle Graley, Neighbourhood Development Officer (St Anns)					
	e provided input:	0115 8839808					
	•	michelle.graley@nottinghamcity.gov.uk					
		Greg Foister, Neighbourhood Development Officer (Dales)					
		0115 8839807					
		greg.foister@nottinghamcity.gov.uk					
		Anita Winter, Senior Accounts Technician					
		anita.winter@nottinghamcity.gov.uk					
Date of consultation with Portfolio Holder(s)							
(IT FE	elevant)						
Relevant Council Plan Key Theme:							
							
Strategic Regeneration and Development Schools					┽──		
Planning and Housing					╡──		
	Community Services						
	Energy, Sustainability and Customer						
	Jobs, Growth and Transport						
	Adults, Health and Community Sector						
	Children, Early Intervention and Early Years						
	Leisure and Culture						
	Resources and Neighbourhood Regeneration						
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):							
This report advises the Area Committee of the use of delegated authority by the Director of							
Commercial and Operations							
Recommendation(s):							
1	That the Area Committee note the actions agreed by the Corporate Director of Commercial						
	and Operations in respect of projects and schemes within Area 6 (detailed in Appendix1)						

1 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 Records detailing Member's spending decisions and consultation are shown in the attached appendix. In accordance with the Constitution, the Area Committee is required to note spending decisions taken by Ward Members.

2 BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

2.1 This action follows the arrangements established by the Executive Board to allow for spending approvals through individual Member budget allocations.

3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Covered within the appendix

4 FINANCE COMMENTS (INCLUDING IMPLICATIONS AND VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)

- 4.1 Members have been allocated an individual allocation for 2015/2016 of £5,000 (agreed at Full Council on 9th March 2015). Arrangements agreed by Executive Board for spending the money were reported to the Area Committee at its meeting in May 2012.
- 4.2 The full balance for 2015/2016 has not been allocated. Further projects will be reported to a subsequent committee.

5 <u>LEGAL AND PROCUREMENT COMMENTS (INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES, AND LEGAL, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT AND PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS)</u>

- 5.1 These arrangements provide transparency and regulation to the spending of individual Member's allocation.
- 5.2 The funds allocated by Area Committee are used to address diverse needs from various sections of the community and reduce inequalities.

6 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

6.1	Has the equality impact of the proposals in this report been assessed?			
	No An EIA is not required because: report does not contain decisions	X n proposals or financial		
	Yes			

7 <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION</u>

7.1 Executive Board – 20th May 2008 – Ward member Budgets

8 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

8.1 Executive Board report Area Capital Resource Allocations for 2011-13, 19th July 2011